

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
MONDAY, MARCH 27, 2000
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S024349 People, Plaintiff and Respondent,
 v.
 Peter Sakarias, Defendant and Appellant
 The judgment is affirmed.

Werdegar, J.

We Concur:
George, C.J.
Mosk, J.
Kennard, J.
Baxter, J.
Chin, J.
Brown, J.

S073725 Jose M. Morillion et al., Plaintiffs and Appellants
 v.

Royal Packing Company, Defendant and Respondent

We conclude that plaintiffs' compulsory travel time is compensable as "hours worked" under Wage Order No. 14-80. Therefore, we reverse the Court of Appeal's judgment and remand this action to the Court of Appeal for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

Chin, J.

We Concur:
George, C.J.
Mosk, J.
Kennard, J.
Baxter, J.
Werdegar, J.
Brown, J.

S073196 Robert Scheiding et al., Appellants

v.

General Motors Corporation, Respondent

The time for granting or denying a rehearing in the above cause is hereby extended to and including June 7, 2000, or the date upon which a rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

3rd Dist. People

C030916 v.

Aaron M. Arcusa

The time for granting or denying review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to and including April 26, 2000, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied. Rule 28(a)(1), California Rules of Court.

S022173 People

v.

Clifford Stanley Bolden, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to and including March 29, 2000.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

S035368 People, Respondent

v.

Enrique Zambrano, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including May 26, 2000.

S049596 People, Respondent

v.

Stanley Bryant, Donald Franklin Smith and
Leroy Wheeler, Appellants

On application of appellant Donald Franklin Smith and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the appellant Donald Franklin Smith is granted to and including May 15, 2000, to request

correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for appellant is ordered to notify the Clerk of the Supreme Court in writing as soon as the act as to which the Court has granted an extension of time has been completed.

S078271 Peter Vu, Appellant

v.

Prudential Property and Casualty Insurance Company, Appellant

The order filed on March 21, 2000, is hereby modified to reflect that appellant Peter Vu's reply brief on the merits is extended to and including April 26, 2000.

S084495 In re Mark Christopher Crew

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including May 1, 2000.

S065501 Rochelle C. Linder, Appellant

v.

Thrifty Oil Company, Respondent

The request of counsel for appellant in the above-referenced cause to allow two counsel to argue on behalf of appellant at oral argument is hereby granted.

S065501 Rochelle C. Linder, Appellant

v.

Thrifty Oil Company, Respondent

The request of appellant to allocate to amicus curiae Consumer Attorneys of California 12 minutes of appellant's 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

S073756 In re Attorney Discipline System

In conformance with Rule 963, subdivision (c), of the Rules of Court, and our order of December 3, 1998, appointing Elwood Lui as "special master to supervise and oversee the collection, disbursement, and allocation of fees mandated by rule 963," the special master has submitted a bill to the court for his reasonable

fees and expenses incurred between October 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999, in performing the duties with which he has been charged. (See *In re Attorney Discipline System* (1998) 19 Cal.4th 582, 623-625.)

Having considered and reviewed the submission from the special master, the court hereby makes the following order:

The State Bar of California shall pay to the Honorable Elwood Lui, special master, the sum of \$42,107.50 for fees and \$2,692.32 for expenses, for a total of \$44,799.82, from the fees collected in the Special Master's Attorney Discipline Fund.